IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

TUESDAY, THE 11TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 20TH BHADRA 1929

WP(C).No. 25055 of 2007(G)

PETITIONER:

P.CHANDRAN NAIR,
S/O. LAKSHMIKUTTY AMMA, PARAPOOR HOUSE,
THIRUVILLWAMALA P.O.

BY ADV. SRI.T.M.SUNIL
SMT.S.CHITHRA
SRI.A.S.BRIJESH

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. DISTRICT COLLECTOR, TRICHUR.

2. REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, TRICHUR.

3. TAHSILDAR, TALAPPILLY.

4. VILLAGE OFFICER,
KANIYARKODU, TALAPPILLY, TRICHUR.

5. RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, S/O.SUBADRA AMMA,
"THEYUS", CHAKINGAL, THIRUVILWAMALA P.O.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. I.V. PRAMOD

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 11/09/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ANTONY DOMINIC,J.

W.P (C) No. 25055 of 2007

Dated this the 11th day of September, 2007

J U D G M E N T

The allegation of the writ petitioner is that the 5th respondent had encroached into a 'Thodu' puramboke and that he made Exhibit -P1 complaint to the 2nd respondent followed by reminders which have not been considered. It is also pointed out that Exhibit-P4 is a report of the Village Officer which also suggests that there has been encroachment.

2. Now, that complaints have been made to the 2nd respondent, the competent authority, it is for that authority to deal with it. Hence I dispose of this writ petition directing the 2nd respondent to consider Exhibit-P1 in the light of Exhibit-P4 report and to pass orders on the same. If there is any substance in the complaint of the petitioner necessary remedial action should also be taken.

Petitioner shall produce a copy of this judgment before the 2nd respondent, for compliance.

(ANTONY DOMINIC, JUDGE)

ma

K.THANKAPPAN,J

CRL.A. NO.92 OF 1999

ORDER

25th May, 2007